

Ron, KU7Y, said refering to Dayton

Yes. Most repeaters in town are wide open. I enjoy listening to the repeaters starting on Thursday. There are a ton of interesting calls to be heard.

73, See you in 52 days  
Dan, WD8AAU

I think an INET QRP repeater is a great idea. There are a number of people I would like to meet and have been wondering about how I'm going to find them. My son (N9YBC) and I will be to Dayton for the first time and already are beginning to feel a little lost. This sure would help.

On Tue, 7 Mar 1995, Dan Puckett wrote:

```
> Ron, KU7Y, said refering to Dayton
>
> >We will be driving in from Indy early Sat AM. If I bring
> >my 2m HT, will I be able to use it without any tones?
>
```

> Yes. Most repeaters in town are wide open. I enjoy listening to the  
> repeaters starting on Thursday. There are a ton of interesting calls  
> to be heard.  
>  
> Ron's question brings up another. Do we want to have an "Official" I-NET  
> QRP repeater so we can find each other? I'm suggesting 147.135/.735 MHz.  
> Just a suggestion. What do you think?  
>  
> 73, See you in 52 days  
> Dan, WD8AAU  
>

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 18:20:33 1995  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 14:31:56 -0500  
From: teda@meaddata.com (Ted Albert)  
Message-Id: <9503071931.AA15914@rain.meaddata.com>  
Subject: Re: 30 meters

> Ted,  
>  
> you planning on posting any info on the 17 meter CW/SSB from  
> SPRAT.. not all of us get it.  
>  
> thanks  
>  
> 73  
> Jeff, AC4HF

A single board 20 or 17 meter CW/SSB transceiver based on the NE602 using  
ceramic 455 khz filters and a CA3020A for the final at 750 mw output.  
Covered in issues 80 & 81 of SPRAT.

The article mentions that the board was designed with help from FAR Circuits  
and the mask in the article shows a 10/24/94 date on the board and the  
FAR Circuit name, but no pricing information was mentioned in issue 81, it  
might have been in issue 80.

A companion article in issue 81 shows a simple amp to boost the output to  
5 watts.

Very interesting little rig.

73 de Ted, KF8EE

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 22:38:30 1995  
Message-Id: <07MAR95.22719085.0316.MUSIC@MARISTB.MARIST.EDU>  
Date: Tue, 07 Mar 95 21:02:10 EST

```
unsubscribe grp -l "Bowes, Fr. Bruce" <GBB!@Maristb.marist.edu>
```

AA4XX is NOT the only volunteer to take over awards. Several weeks ago on the phone, the Prez, Les Shattuck, WN2V, told me that he had three volunteers. With Paul, that makes 4. 73 and Queue Our Pea DE WA8MCQ --

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 19:38:15 1995  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 16:34:29 +0600  
From: ges@praxis.ppco.com (ges@240pob)  
Message-Id: <9503072234.AA11089@praxis.ppco.com>  
Subject: Beckman 3010

Can anyone tell me what the input impedance is for a Beckman 3010 meter (several years old). I'm hoping it is 10 Megohms/volt.

Glen K5UP

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 23:35:39 1995  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 95 15:58:17 EST  
From: Clark Fishman (FSAC-FCD) <cfishman@fsac3.pica.army.mil>  
Subject: Cascade

Message-Id: <9503071558.aa12989@FSAC3.PICA.ARMY.MIL>

Can someone send me some poop on the Cascade???

Is it CW only or CW and SSB etc

enquiring mimes want to know

Clark Fishman WA2UNN cfishman@pica.army.mil

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 20:37:34 1995

Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 16:38:07 -0500 (EST)

From: prvalko <prvalko@vela.acs.oakland.edu>

Subject: Color burst sprint thursday?

Message-Id: <Pine.3.89.9503071609.C8581-0100000@saturn.acs.oakland.edu>

WB8E asked if there was going to be any action Thursday night on 3579?

I'll be there, may even drag out the PM1 again. Your chance to listen and work the rarest of commercial QRP gear!

REMEMBER!!! Spring is coming and in a few weeks, 80M will be wall to wall static crashes from all over the world! Let's try it.

73 =paul= wb8zjl

OHGEEIALMOSTFERGOT!!!! I got my QRP+ manual in the mail today! Uhhh... doesn't that thing look a little tippy? (Remember I ordered mine site unseen--what a shock!)

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 20:19:13 1995

Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 16:22:48 -0500

Message-Id: <199503072122.AA05673@yfn2.ysu.edu>

From: ah301@yfn.ysu.edu (Jerry Sy)

Subject: does qrp+ have dual VFO to work split ?

thanks to those who replied to my post about the Argonaut 515 price.

basically the replies point out that QRP+ has more bang for the buck.

Some replies pointed out that a TenTec scout is not a bad option also (if we are talking about \$500-\$600 in monies)

Now I think the TenTec scout has dual VFO that is useful for working DX pileups that are split frequency (please correct me if I am wrong). Now my question is, does the QRP+ have dual VFO to work split frequency ? does the QRP+ have a RIT capability ?

BTW, is it still possible to get into the QRP-L group purchase of the QRP+ rig ? If so, who should I contact ?

thanks again to all!!  
73 de jerry  
n3rkd

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 12:31:26 1995  
From: N5EM@aol.com  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 10:56:28 -0500  
Message-Id: <950307105622\_41863079@aol.com>  
Subject: Re: how much for an Argonaut ...

Jerry,

First, there really is no comparison between the 515 and the QRP+, except they are both QRP rigs.

515: 80-10, no coverage of 30,17,12. Old design receiver that is susceptible to strong signal overload. No CW filter, it is an add-on using an audio filter. Works OK but since its after the IF section, easily overloaded on strong signals. Should be able to find one for around \$200-\$250 if you can find someone to part with one. It is VERY popular as it was one of the first full featured SSB/CW multiband QRP rigs. It contains much nostalgia, which accounts for its excellent resale value. For many, it was the beginning of their QRP experience.

QRP+: Much has been recently written about this one. High points are 160-10 band coverage, including all 3 WARC bands, as well as a GENERAL COVERAGE receiver! SSB/CW (though only the common sideband, no sideband reverse capability). Small, about 40% of the size of the ARG0. Highly acclaimed SCAF audio filter that is variable from 100hz to 2.4 khz. 20 memories, built in iambic keyer. This is probably the state of the art in QRP today. Regular price is \$595 plus mic and shipping. Recent group purchase (20+ units) through the I-net club was \$540. So, over twice as much as a used 515.

There you go. A VERY cursory description of the two. Bottom line? The ARG0 is a sweet little rig for the money and you will enjoy using one. The QSK is

legendary. Once you use it you will have a new respect for TENTEC. At the going rate (assuming you can find one) it is an excellent buy. The QRP+ is not likely to be found used so you must compare it as a new rig, which is unfair. As far as value is concerned, it is a piece of work. Compared to other new rigs, the price is very attractive and the rig is full of features, is only 5x4x6, and only draws .14 Amps on receive.

My recommendation? If you can afford the QRP+, you will not be disappointed! If not, the 515 would be a very nice alternative.

My rigs? Have a QRP+ ordered. I have a TS-130V on the bench today. Owned an Argosy Digital and Analog (both at the same time - sucessor to the 515). Started it all off with the 509, which preceded the 515. I have NEVER been disappointed in any of these rigs.!

72/73

Ed Manuel - N5EM  
n5em@aol.com  
Houston, Texas

QRP - Its a state of mind, not just a power level.

QRP ARCI #4914, G-QRP #1243, NORCAL #498

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 15:59:20 1995  
From: LVE1@inel.gov  
Message-Id: <9503071930.AA29550@garnet.inel.gov>  
Date: Tue, 07 Mar 1995 12:32:08 -0700  
Subject: Re: how much for an Argonaut ...

In a recent posting, N5EM wrote:

>

>First, there really is no comparison between the 515 and the QRP+, except  
>they are both QRP rigs.

A bit strong, perhaps; the 515 was pretty good in its day...

>515: 80-10, no coverage of 30,17,12. Old design receiver that is suceptible  
>to strong signal overload. No CW filter, it is an add-on using an audio  
>filter. Works OK but since its after the IF section, easily overloaded on  
>strong signals.

NOT entirely true: The front-end ain't all that bad. In addition, the rig uses audio derived AGC and the audio filter goes BEFORE the AGC pickoff,

thus it is within the AGC loop. I have a narrow (LC) audio CW filter on my Argonaut 509 (basically same setup as the 515) and have no problems with adjacent strong signal overload -- even on 40M.

>My recommendation? If you can afford the QRP+, you will not be disappointed!  
> If not, the 515 would be a very nice alternative.

>From the standpoint of "bang/buck", the QRP+ is clearly the better choice. However, if you want a rig that you aren't afraid to fix/modify/generally tinker with, then the 515 (or even a 509) would be the way to go ... no @#%& microprocessors, the boards are easily removable, and the parts are big enough (for us old farts) to see with unaided eyes.

As for me, I have an HW-9 (highly modified), a Yasu FT-301, a Ten-Tec 509 and a recently acquired NorCal 40A (parts still in the bag). Oh yes... I also ordered a QRP Plus just because I couldn't resist the price of the recent "inet deal"! (Actually, it will be the first brand-spankin'-new non-kit rig I have purchased in my 40+ years of being a ham!)

-----  
"Any opinions expressed herein are my own and probably do  
not agree with those of my employer, the U.S. Government  
or my spouse"

--... ..--

Larry V. East (W1HUE)

Idaho Falls, ID

e-mail: LVE1@inel.gov

Packet: W1HUE@WT7B.ID.USA.NOAM

work: (208) 533-4005 home: (208) 529-2162

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 17:51:40 1995  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 12:54:45 -0500 (EST)  
From: prvalko <prvalko@vela.acs.oakland.edu>  
Subject: Re: how much for an Argonaut ...  
Message-Id: <Pine.3.89.9503071244.B24747-0100000@saturn.acs.oakland.edu>

On Tue, 7 Mar 1995 N5EM@aol.com wrote:

Talking about the Ten\*Tec 515:

> Should be able to find one for around \$200-\$250 if you can find someone to part with one. It is VERY popular...

[snip]

> My rigs? Have a QRP+ ordered. I have a TS-130V on the bench today. Owned  
> an Argosy Digital and Analog (both at the same time - sucessor to the 515).

> Started it all off with the 509, which preceded the 515. I have NEVER been  
> disappointed in any of these rigs.!

Very true... Ed I noticed you did not list a 515 and I have a feeling you  
did not sell your 515 for the current going rate of close to \$400! :-)  
K8DD sold his (with all accessories and a cool case) at Dayton last year for  
\$600 and I believe Hank is STILL kicking himself.

73! =paul= wb8zjl

howcome I don't have a NorCal number?!

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 18:09:52 1995  
Subject: Re: how much for an Argonaut ...  
Date: Tue, 07 Mar 1995 10:37:20 -0800  
Message-Id: <4164.794601440@safety.ics.uci.edu>  
From: Clark Savage Turner WA3JPG <turner@safety.ICS.UCI.EDU>

> filter. Works OK but since its after the IF section, easily overloaded on  
> strong signals. Should be able to find one for around \$200-\$250 if you can

Just a quick note. I basically agree that the Argo 515 is an old design,  
and is a good basic radio.

However, if you can find an Argonaut 515 for anything under \$350, you have an  
amazing deal in my opinion. (As far as the market goes, I would personally  
like to see them cheaper!) I have not seen one go for under \$400 in the  
two I have seen sell in the past two years on the West Coast Swap net.

Clark  
WA3JPG

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Wed Mar 8 01:06:04 1995  
From: K7YHA@aol.com  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 21:54:47 -0500  
Message-Id: <950307215331\_42602138@aol.com>  
Subject: Re: how much for an Argonaut ...

I don't know where you guys shop but the last prices I saw on 515s put them  
in the \$500 price range. Of course, this is for a primo rig with no  
scratches, bangs, dents, etc. Accessories will add to the price...especially  
the outboard speech processor.

If you find a 515 for undre \$300 PLEASE let me know!

72 rich

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Wed Mar 8 03:51:07 1995



Date: Wed, 8 Mar 1995 00:12:22 -0500 (EST)  
From: prvalko <prvalko@vela.acs.oakland.edu>  
Subject: Re: how much for an Argonaut ...  
Message-Id: <Pine.3.89.9503080019.A28866-0100000@saturn.acs.oakland.edu>

On Tue, 7 Mar 1995 K7YHA@aol.com wrote:

> I don't know where you guys shop but the last prices I saw on 515s put them  
> in the \$500 price range. Of course, this is for a primo rig with no  
> scratches, bangs, dents, etc. Accessories will add to the price...especially  
> the outboard speech processor.  
>  
> If you find a 515 for undre \$300 PLEASE let me know!

Are you talkin' to me? Are you talkin' to ME?

If I find a 515 for sale for "undre" three hundred dollars it'll be sold,  
to ME :-)

=paul= wb8zjl

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 17:46:58 1995  
From: lhalliday@creo.bc.ca  
Date: Tue, 07 Mar 95 12:42:23 PST  
Message-Id: <9502077946.AA794608943@mail.creo.bc.ca>  
Subject: Re: Mars (was: Miles Per Watt Trials)

A small postscript to this: I did some link budget calculations last night, and assuming 30 dB antenna gain at each end, CW bandwidths and decent noise figures, an S band QRP transmitter (2304/2320 MHz) could send signals to the Moon. While your ERP would be several kilowatts, your transmitter power wouldn't.

73 from Burnaby,  
laura VE7LDH

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 23:12:16 1995  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 95 17:01:42 MST  
From: miker@cc.com (Mike Robinson)  
Message-Id: <9503080001.AA23095@cc.com >  
Subject: MFJ 9020

Does anyone know the fix for the abundant HISS on the MFJ series QRP rigs? I have a Rev.3 20meter rig. Weak signals are lost in

the noise.

Also, is there a way to turn down the volume of the Sidetone? My sidetone is so loud that the AGC completely quiets the rig during xmit. And it takes almost 5 seconds for it to recover after xmitting.

I've got a mod in mind to solve both problems but I wanted to see if the wheel has already been invented.

```
=====
7.3 de Michael aa0ub | QRP: Am I getting out?
miker@cc.com         | My neighbors have stopped complaining.
=====
```

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 00:43:15 1995  
From: Cooktk@aol.com  
Date: Mon, 6 Mar 1995 17:27:43 -0500  
Message-Id: <950306172317\_41082190@aol.com>  
Subject: MSC SCAF Filter

I have been looking for one of these filters to use with various qrp rigs, with no luck. with the recent postings on the list here pertaining to that particular filter, I wonder if anyone has one just laying around gathering dust in favor of a new DSP type filter.... if so please e-mail me... thanks  
Tim NZ8J

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 16:58:29 1995  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 09:26:55 -0700  
Message-Id: <199503071626.JAA11662@bud.indirect.com>  
From: nystedt@indirect.com (John Nystedt)  
Subject: Re: NorCal 40A Build Results

At 10:54 AM 3/6/95 -0800, Wayne Burdick wrote:  
: Where is your  
>AGC threshold trimmer set? You can express this as "90% CCW," "pointing at  
>Q2," or whatever.

Wayne:  
assuming this is R6, on mine it points at the closest pin of D2. Great  
kit!!! Thank you and the group for all of the effort to make this possible.  
73s de John

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 18:58:49 1995  
Message-Id: <n1417524762.38812@qmgate.larc.nasa.gov>  
Date: 7 Mar 1995 17:32:33 -0500

From: "Robert Neece" <Robert\_Neece@qmgate.larc.nasa.gov>  
Subject: Re: NorCal 40a Measurements

|             |                             |               |
|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
|             | Subject:                    | Time: 5:30 PM |
| OFFICE MEMO | Re> NorCal 40a Measurements | Date: 3/7/95  |

Wayne et al

I mistyped my email address at the bottom of my earlier message.  
It is R.T.Neece@larc.nasa.gov. Oops.

Robert

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 21:03:02 1995  
Message-Id: <n1417525177.3698@qmgate.larc.nasa.gov>  
Date: 7 Mar 1995 17:22:31 -0500  
From: "Robert Neece" <Robert\_Neece@qmgate.larc.nasa.gov>  
Subject: NorCal 40a Measurements

Mail\*Link(r) SMTP NorCal 40a Measurements

Wayne,

Recall that my 40a was completed about 10 days ago, and a birth announcement was sent to qrp-1. In that note, I mentioned that the output was trash for low power settings. Last week I had a few minutes to look at the rig on the spectrum analyzer. It was stable and clean into 50 ohms, and there was no indication of instability that I could recognize. The instability occurs when the rig is terminated by my antenna/tuner. Output is fine from maximum power down to 1 W and then it is garbage down to 0.25 W.

The results of my lab measurements follow. Hopefully, you will receive legible tables. My Sun is misbehaving, so this is coming via QuickMail. My fingers will be crossed as I send this.

NorCal 40a Spectrum Analyzer Test Results from 3/2/95

First test: look at output for recommended supply voltage with power set at maximum

I rcv = 0.02 A Vcc = 13.0 V  
I tx = 0.31 A Freq. = 7.042 MHz

| F     | P     | P    | Delta |
|-------|-------|------|-------|
| (MHz) | (dBm) | (W)  | (dB)  |
| 7.042 | 34.5  | 2.82 | 0.0   |

|        |       |          |      |
|--------|-------|----------|------|
| 14.084 | - 3.2 | 4.79E-04 | 37.7 |
| 21.126 | -30.0 | 1.00E-06 | 64.5 |
| 2.790  | -13.5 | 4.47E-05 | 48.0 |

Notes:

The rig was cold for this test.

After converting dBm to Watts, the power looks suspiciously high - a goof?

The last entry in this table was the first sideband of what looked like a DSB-suppressed carrier spectrum. Probably a mixer product. (Only the highest peak was measured.)

Second Test: look at harmonic energy as power is reduced

| Setting (%) | F P (dBm) | 2F P (dBm) | Delta (dB) |
|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| 100         | 32.4      | -1.4       | 33.8       |
| 90          | 33.7      | -2.5       | 36.2       |
| 80          | 32.2      | -8.5       | 40.7       |
| 60          | 27.8      | -9.5       | 37.3       |
| 40          | -3.0      | -35.0      | 32.0       |
| 20          | -29.0     | noise      | noise      |
| 0           | -32.0     | noise      | noise      |

Note:

The "setting" is for the power control Potentiometer.

Third Test: look at harmonic energy as frequency is varied.

Power output was set for the cleanest output near maximum power out.

Power = 2.2 W

| Freq. Setting (%) (~MHz) | F P (dBm) | 2F P (dBm) | Delta (dB) |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| 0 7.02                   | 33.5      | -3.9       | 37.4       |
| 30 7.03                  | 33.7      | -3.9       | 37.6       |
| 50 7.04                  | 33.5      | -3.7       | 37.2       |
| 70 7.04                  | 33.5      | -3.5       | 37.0       |
| 100 7.04                 | 33.5      | -3.8       | 37.3       |

Fourth Test: look at effects of changing Vcc a bit

| Vcc (Vdc) | F (MHz) | F P (dBm) | 2F P (dBm) | Delta (dB) |
|-----------|---------|-----------|------------|------------|
| 12.0      | 7.04    | 33.1      | -3.9       | 37.0       |
| 13.0      | 7.04    | 33.5      | -3.7       | 37.2       |
| 13.8      | 7.04    | 34.1      | -3.5       | 37.6       |

Note:

Output was set below the maximum at a point where the harmonic was down.

Observations:

The recommended setting for the power pot (90%) is good, since power actually peaks near this setting and is cleaner than for 100%.

I noted that the output was cleaner just below the peak power output setting.

Robert Neece  
N4JEO

I'm not receiving qrp-1 at this time, so please send replies to  
R.T.Neece@nasa.larc.gov

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 19:30:20 1995  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 15:01:11 -0700 (MST)  
From: Rick Zabrodski <zabrodsk@med.ucalgary.ca>  
Subject: NORCAL40A ARRIVES IN CANADA  
Message-Id: <Pine.SUN.3.91.950307145729.5877B-100000@ume>

everything arrived intact  
wonderful PC board.....glad I own a light/magnifier!  
now, just have to finish connecting a few things to the epiphyte.....so  
many projects, so little time...

\*\*\*\*\*  
Dr. Rick Zabrodski BSc, MD, CCFP(E) \* VE6GK  
Email: zabrodsk@med.ucalgary.ca \* NorCal 519 ARCI 7099 GQRP 8329  
Phone 403-271-5123 Fax 403-225-1276 \* "Power is no substitute for skill"  
\*\*\*\*\*

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 01:52:15 1995  
From: K7YHA@aol.com  
Date: Mon, 6 Mar 1995 21:17:12 -0500  
Message-Id: <950306211710\_41365637@aol.com>  
Subject: OHR Wattmeter Mass Purchase

I saw a mssg here about some guys doing a mass buy of some OHR wattmeters.  
Can someone please tell me where to send my check (I think that it was  
\$68.00) and the deadline?

72 rich

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 13:57:26 1995  
From: "Greg Taylor" <GTAYLOR@TAEX003N.tamu.edu>

Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 08:55:40 CDT  
Subject: Re: Operating Skill?  
Message-Id: <314B502932@taex003n.tamu.edu>

>From: Timothy M Huntington <tmh@world.std.com>

QUESTION: What is radio operating skill?  
More specifically: What is qrp operating skill?

What are the  
skills? Listening to the band more carefully?  
+ Yes  
Not wasting time answering  
CQ's that are too weak, or too distant?  
+ Not necessarily  
Is there more?  
+ Yes

I think basically it means you don't make contacts by use of brute force (power) and have to use a little finesse. In a one on one situation you have to be able to zero beat well, adjust speed to the situation, know propagation to select frequency etc, whatever it takes on your end to make sure the other guy hears you despite low power. In one on one, however, qrp may be just as much a test of the other op's skill as yours. I may be biased by my interests but in other situations such as DX pile-ups or contests the qrp op really has a chance to demonstrate skill because the big stations are in the middle of it with you.

72, Greg, KD4HZ

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 16:20:01 1995  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 10:14:05 +0500  
From: Frank.Milos@East.Sun.COM (Frank Milos - SUN WWOPS New Products Project Manager)  
Message-Id: <9503071514.AA04793@quirp.East.Sun.COM>  
Subject: QRPP

Got my QRPP yesterday in NH. Skimmed it quickly.

Another top quality issue with great articles!

Thanks to all the contributors and to Doug and everyone else for there time to put together this outstanding publication!

Frank, N01E

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Wed Mar 8 00:04:15 1995  
From: JimN00CT@aol.com  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 18:15:27 -0500  
Message-Id: <950307181525\_42334915@aol.com>  
Subject: R2 and level 17 mixers....

A friend who is not on the QRP-List asked if there would be any degradation or negative change in performance if he switched out the SBL-1's in his R2 with level 17 mixers (those requiring 50 mW of drive, as opposed to the 5 mW required by the SBL-1) with the same pinouts. He wants to build a receiver with high immunity to local strong signals.

I didn't see any problem with this, but am not a mixer expert. I'll collect the responses either from the list or email. Thanks! (Gee, if it is a good idea, maybe I'll do it with the R2 I still need to build.....)

73, Jim N00CT

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 03:57:29 1995  
From: JimN00CT@aol.com  
Date: Mon, 6 Mar 1995 23:26:36 -0500  
Message-Id: <950306232634\_41522620@aol.com>  
Subject: Re: Thoughts on ARCI...

I agree with Mike WA8MCQ that the QRP-L shouldn't replace ARCI as what ARCI is supposed to do. If I said that (don't have the original post), I am sorely mistaken about that.

However (you all knew that was coming), I think that a prerequisite to being an officer or BoD member in ARCI should be INTERNET ACCESS (yes I'm shouting) provided by the user. Mike describes the way things are communicated in ARCI as 'chess by mail'. Even if I played chess, I wouldn't rely on USPS to send my moves. Email is faster, more immediate, and access can be had from darn near anywhere. If a person lives in an area without local dial up numbers, there are 800 services that provide Internet access.

My main intent in the original post was that a national organization (volunteer or not) should commit to communicate among the officers and Board frequently, and my opinion is that Inet is good vehicle for this. I think it would aid the smooth execution of operations.

Just my 3.01 dBm worth

73, Jim N0OCT

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 11:16:42 1995  
Date: Tue, 07 Mar 1995 07:34:05 -0500 (EST)  
From: CEBIK@utkvx.utk.edu  
Subject: Re: Thoughts on ARCI...  
Message-Id: <Pine.3.89.9503070720.A559941320-0100000@utkvx.utk.edu>

Jim (and others in QRP ARCI),

In principle, I agree with you that e-mail makes communication so much more efficient over both phone and slo-post that it should be a prerequisite to service on any club such as QRPARCI.

BUT: as a member of another organization dealing with the same situation, the question is not simple. As a "democratic" organization in which any member is eligible to hold any office, this organization knows that it cannot impose e-mail capability as a prerequisite for office, lest it rule out members who cannot afford it for whatever reason. So, shall the organization pay for 1. all, 2. part of the basic service, such as (but not restricted to) AOL's 9.95/month? With a board of over a dozen, that group has some hard fiscal decisions to make, with a cost-benefit analysis thrown in, and perhaps some sample savings from the group-paid phone bills. That group's decision is not yet made, since it is seriously studying all angles of the situation. (But, in the meantime, more of the board members are putting themselves on line just to be in the loop, so pay-or-no-pay may become a secondary problem--hopefully.) Whether any of this is applicable to QRP ARCI, I cannot say, but for it and other groups, these may be factors to consider. That's why I am putting this on distribution.

Service to organizations that make building and operating easier, more challenging, and more fun for others unfortunately requires sacrificing a good chunk of one's own building and operating time to deal with policy and dollar decisions of just this order.

-73-  
LB, W4RNL

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 16:57:12 1995  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 14:41:21 -0600 (CST)  
From: Adrian Weiss W0RSP English Department <AWEISS@charlie.usd.edu>  
Message-Id: <950307144121.54c70@charlie.usd.edu>



Subject: Re: Thoughts on ARCI...

I entirely agree that e-mail is the way that the BOD should conduct business. The one advantage of QRP-L is rapid turn-around and activity. There is no good reason for sticking with the Post Office when this communication channel is available.

73, Ade

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 23:59:54 1995  
From: Byron8LCZ@aol.com  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 18:56:26 -0500  
Message-Id: <950307185625\_42382743@aol.com>  
Subject: Thoughts on ARCI...

Even better than e-mail, is a live round-table discussion. You could set up a day/time and have all BoD and Officers meet online at the same time and offer comments/suggestions on any subject immediately. thats much better then e-mail !

Talk about Input.

Compuserve and Genie have had live forums for many years now. It should be possible to get one going on America On-Line

72, Byron WA8LCZ

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Wed Mar 8 03:36:29 1995  
From: Mike.Czuhajewski@hambbs.wb3ffv.ampr.org (Mike Czuhajewski)  
Subject: Re: Thoughts on ARCI...  
Date: Wed, 08 Mar 95 00:01:59 EST5EDT  
Message-Id: <1995Mar08.000159.17946@wb3ffv.ampr.org>

Quite true, e-mail IS the only sane way to conduct business in something like the QRP ARCI, and as others have pointed out, it isn't that expensive for a bare bones account (AOL, etc). Of course, one point to remember (which is probably pointed out in any good book on Internet, and was in the McGraw-Hill book I read) is that having an e-mail account does not guarantee that you can communicate with someone. Not only does the mail have to get from point A to point B (it can, and does, get lost just like snail mail) but the recipient has to log onto his/her account on a regular basis and read all the new mail. If some cannot or does not read their mailbox regularly, then communications is hampered. Happily, a number of QRP ARCI "key

players" are wired, with more working on it. 73 and Queue Our Pea DE  
WA8MCQ

--

Mike Czuhajewski, user of the UniBoard System @ wb3ffv.ampr.org  
E-Mail: Mike.Czuhajewski@hambbs.wb3ffv.ampr.org  
The WB3FFV Amateur Radio BBS - Located in Baltimore, Maryland USA  
Supporting the Amateur Radio Hobby, and TCP/IP InterNetworking

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Tue Mar 7 03:41:18 1995  
Message-Id: <199503070420.MAA15214@moondance.np.ac.sg>  
Subject: TO: KELVIN WALTON (kevin\_walton@gemlink.win.net)  
Date: Sun, 5 Mar 1995 10:40:48 +0800 (SST)  
From: Edwin Teh 9V1ZY <s2202629@np.ac.sg>

Sorry to other's on the list for the 'increased bandwidth', but, I've sent  
Mr Walton several replies to his post regarding "Amateur Radio (Singapore)",  
and he hasn't seemed to have received any.

Please Mr Walton, write to me direct at "s2202629@np.ac.sg", and I will  
answer your questions. -QUIT- sending your request to the qrp-1 list, I have  
since received 8-10 copies of your request sent to the list to date.

73 de 9V1ZY

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Wed Mar 8 04:11:10 1995  
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 1995 23:59:59 -0600  
From: "David W. Barrow III" <dbarrow@omnifest.uwm.edu>  
Message-Id: <9503080559.AA25114@omnifest.uwm.edu>  
Subject: Unsubscribe

unsubscribe qrp -1 David W. Barrow III <dbarrow@omnifest.uwm.edu>